

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/674,710	01/29/2000	Gabriel Ilan	P-1653-US	3580	
24505 7	590 10/03/2003		EXAM	INER	
DANIEL J SWIRSKY			BEAULIEU, YONEL		
PO BOX 2345 BEIT SHEMES			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ISRAEL	,		3661		
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Α			
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astion Commence	09/674,710	ILAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yonel Beaulieu	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	correspondence address —			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	ugust 2003 .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I					
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	000 0.0. 210.			
4) Claim(s) 8-19 and 21-33 is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-19 and 21-33</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		minor			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepto Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		, 100 by 1110 2/10/11/11/01			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).			
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 09/674,710 Examiner Yonel Beaulieu Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination ILAN ET AL. Art Unit Page 1 of 1

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	A	US-6,124,826	09-2000	Garthwaite et al.	342/357.09
	В	US-		.,	-
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	H	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	Ν			-		
	0					
	Ð	-				
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

#### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)				
	U					
	V					
	w	:				
	х					

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,710

Art Unit: 3661

# Claim Objections

Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: it is suggested to change "signalS" (line 2) to --signals--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 8 – 15, 17, 19, 21 – 27, 29, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being aniticipated by Garthwaite et al. (US 6,124,826).

Regarding claims 8 – 15, 17, 19, 21 – 27, 29, and 33, Garthwaite et al. teaches an apparatus (figs. 1-2) and method, comprising a voice recognition unit to recognize voice input and to output at least one first command from at least one command data

Application/Control Number: 09/674,710

Art Unit: 3661

set, a handwriting recognition unit to recognize handwritten input and to output at least one second command from at least one command data set and a control unit receiving the commands and communicating a command signal associated to at least one appliance within a vehicle (figs. 1-2; col. 3: 45 - col. 4: 2); Garthwaite et al. further teaches this idea of symbolic characters (col. 3: 40 - 43), the apparatus connecting to the appliance by an interface (20; col. 3: 64 - 67), an appliance being a radio (col. 2: 42 - 44; col. 3: 44 - 45), a cellular telephone (mobile phone) in satellite navigation system 1)(note col. 4: 14 - 17 and 33 - 45 at least), a personal computer (6), a microphone (not explicitly shown; however, note col. 3: 56 - 59 at least).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garthwaite et al. ('826) as applied to claim 25 (directly or indirectly).

Garthwaite et al. teaches all of the limitations except for associating a user command with a 3-dimensional hand signal/gesture. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention such an association would only involve routine skill in the art.

Page 4

Claims 18, 31, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garthwaite et al. ('826) as applied to claim 25 (directly or indirectly) above, and further in view of Obradovich (US 6,282,464).

Garthwaite et al. teaches all of the limitations except for a car alarm, a sunroof and a window.

However, Obradovich teaches, in an art related field, a vehicle appliance being a car alarm (col. 9: 50 – col. 10: 44), a sunroof or a window (note item 108c); col. 7: 54 – 57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Garthwaite et al.'s apparatus by including a car alarm, a sunroof and a window as evidenced by Obradovich in order to add to the versatility of the apparatus.

# Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yonel Beaulieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R, from 0900-1600.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,710

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. CUCHLINSKI can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone

872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

Y. BEAUL BLANKER

Page 5